



# **Probability and Computing Coupling, Balls into Bins, Poissonisation and the Poisson Point Process**

Stefan Walzer | WS 2024/2025



### **Content**



#### **1. [Coupling](#page-2-0)**

- **[Motivating Examples](#page-2-0)**
- **[Definition](#page-8-0)**

#### **2. [Balls into Bins](#page-18-0)**

**3. [Poissonisation](#page-26-0)**

#### **4. [Poisson Point Process](#page-43-0)**

[Coupling](#page-2-0) Coupling [Balls into Bins](#page-18-0) [Poissonisation](#page-26-0) Poissonisation Coupling [Poisson Point Process](#page-43-0)

### <span id="page-2-0"></span>**An easy choice?**

#### A Simple Game

You win if you get  $\geq 5$  heads in 10 coin tosses. Choose:

- **i** a fair coin with Pr["heads"]  $=\frac{1}{2}$
- $\blacksquare$  a biased coin with Pr["heads"]  $=\frac{2}{3}$





### **An easy choice?**

#### A Simple Game

You win if you get  $> 5$  heads in 10 coin tosses. Choose:

- **i** a fair coin with Pr["heads"]  $=\frac{1}{2}$
- $\blacksquare$  a biased coin with Pr["heads"]  $=\frac{2}{3}$

#### How to prove that (ii) is the better choice?



 $\sum$ *i*=5  $(10$ *i*  $(1)$ 2  $\big)^{i}$  $\big($ <sup>1</sup> 2  $\big)^{10-i}$  ?  $\frac{10}{\leq}$ *i*=5  $(10$ *i*  $\binom{2}{2}$ 3  $\big)^{i}$  $\big($ <sup>1</sup> 3 <sup>10</sup>−*<sup>i</sup>*

Shouldn't there be an answer that needs no calculation?









Consider two "wheels of fortune":





Consider two "wheels of fortune":



### Both can be rationally preferred

- **E** $[K] > \mathbb{E}[Y]$  // maximises expected reward
- **Pr** $[Y \geq 5\epsilon]$  >  $Pr[X \geq 5\epsilon]$  // maximises probability that you can afford ice cream

See [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Von\\_Neumann%E2%80%93Morgenstern\\_utility\\_theorem](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Von_Neumann%E2%80%93Morgenstern_utility_theorem) to get started on rational choice theory.











#### Formal Reason you should prefer *Y*

For every *c* we have:

 $Pr[X \ge c] \le Pr[Y \ge c]$ .

#### Intuitive Reason you should prefer *Y*

Glueing the wheels together guarantees  $X < Y$ .





<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Content**



#### **1. [Coupling](#page-2-0)**

**[Motivating Examples](#page-2-0)** 

**[Definition](#page-8-0)** 

**2. [Balls into Bins](#page-18-0)**

**3. [Poissonisation](#page-26-0)**

**4. [Poisson Point Process](#page-43-0)**

# **Equality in Distribution**



#### **Notation**

We write  $X \stackrel{d}{=} X'$  for two random variables if  $X$  and  $X'$  have the same distribution.



#### **Notation**

We write  $X \stackrel{d}{=} X'$  for two random variables if  $X$  and  $X'$  have the same distribution.

#### Equivalent Definitions

 $X\overset{\rm d}{=}X'\Leftrightarrow \forall x:\mathsf{Pr}[X=x]=\mathsf{Pr}[X]$  $\mathbf{y}' = \mathbf{x}$  (for discrete R.V. *X* and *X'*)  $\Leftrightarrow$  ∀*x* : Pr[*X*  $\leq$  *x*] = Pr[*X'*  $\leq$  *x*]  $\mathbf{Y} \leq \mathbf{x}$  (for real-valued R.V. *X* and *X'*)



#### **Notation**

We write  $X \stackrel{d}{=} X'$  for two random variables if  $X$  and  $X'$  have the same distribution.

#### Equivalent Definitions

$$
X \stackrel{d}{=} X' \Leftrightarrow \forall x : \Pr[X = x] = \Pr[X' = x]
$$

$$
\Leftrightarrow \forall x : \Pr[X \le x] = \Pr[X' \le x]
$$

 $\mathbf{y}' = \mathbf{x}$  (for discrete R.V. *X* and *X'*)

 $\mathbf{Y} \leq \mathbf{x}$  (for real-valued R.V. *X* and *X'*)

#### To Clarify:

If *X*,  $Y \sim \mathcal{U}([0, 1])$  are independent then  $X \stackrel{d}{=} Y$ **•**  $Pr[X = Y] = 0$ 



# **Definition: Coupling of** *X* **and** *Y*





# **Definition: Coupling of** *X* **and** *Y*





**8/25** WS 2024/2025 Stefan Walzer: Coupling, Balls into Bins, Poissonisation, Poisson Point Process ITI, Algorithm Engineering

 $Y' \stackrel{d}{=} Y \checkmark$ 

# **Definition: Coupling of** *X* **and** *Y*





#### **Remarks**

- No assumption on joint distribution of *X* and *Y*. Might be independent, correlated or undefined.
- *X* ′ and *Y* ′ should be correlated in an interesting/useful way.
- Example coupling shows:

 $Pr$ 

$$
X \geq c \rceil \stackrel{x \stackrel{d}{=} x'}{\leq} \Pr[X' \geq c]
$$
  

$$
\stackrel{x' \leq Y'}{\leq} \Pr[Y' \geq c]
$$
  

$$
\stackrel{y \stackrel{d}{=} Y'}{\equiv} \Pr[Y \geq c]
$$

### **An easy choice!**

#### A Simple Game (Generalised)

You win if your random variable exceeds  $c \in \mathbb{N}$ . Choose:

- i  $\textit{X} \sim \textsf{Bin}\big(n,\frac{1}{2}\big)$  // number of heads of fair coin
- ii *Y* ∼ Bin(*n*, 2 3 ) // number of heads of biased coin





### **An easy choice!**

#### A Simple Game (Generalised)

You win if your random variable exceeds  $c \in \mathbb{N}$ . Choose:

- i  $\textit{X} \sim \textsf{Bin}\big(n,\frac{1}{2}\big)$  // number of heads of fair coin
- ii *Y* ∼ Bin(*n*, 2 3 ) // number of heads of biased coin

#### Prove that *Y* is better than *X* using a Coupling

Let  $R_1, \ldots, R_n \sim \mathcal{U}([6])$  be *n* fair dice rolls.  $X' = |\{i \in [n] \mid R_i \in \{1, 2, 3\}\}|$ *Y*<sup> $′ = |{*i* ∈ [*n*] | *R<sub>i</sub>* ∈ {1, 2, 3, 4}}|$ </sup>

Observe:  $X' \stackrel{d}{=} X$  $Y' \stackrel{d}{=} Y$ 

 $X' \leq Y'$  guaranteed







### **An easy choice!**

#### A Simple Game (Generalised)

You win if your random variable exceeds  $c \in \mathbb{N}$ . Choose:

- i  $\textit{X} \sim \textsf{Bin}\big(n,\frac{1}{2}\big)$  // number of heads of fair coin
- ii *Y* ∼ Bin(*n*, 2 3 ) // number of heads of biased coin

### Prove that *Y* is better than *X* using a Coupling

Let  $R_1, \ldots, R_n \sim \mathcal{U}([6])$  be *n* fair dice rolls.  $X' = |\{i \in [n] \mid R_i \in \{1, 2, 3\}\}|$ *Y*<sup> $′ = |{*i* ∈ [*n*] | *R<sub>i</sub>* ∈ {1, 2, 3, 4}}|$ </sup>

Observe:  $X' \stackrel{d}{=} X$  $Y' \stackrel{d}{=} Y$ 

 $X' \leq Y'$  guaranteed

# $Hence: Pr[X \ge c] = Pr[X' \ge c] \le Pr[Y' \ge c] = Pr[Y' \ge c].$





**9/25** WS 2024/2025 Stefan Walzer: Coupling, Balls into Bins, Poissonisation, Poisson Point Process **ITI, Algorithm Engineering** 

### <span id="page-18-0"></span>**Content**



#### **1. [Coupling](#page-2-0)**

- **[Motivating Examples](#page-2-0)**
- **[Definition](#page-8-0)**

#### **2. [Balls into Bins](#page-18-0)**

**3. [Poissonisation](#page-26-0)**

**4. [Poisson Point Process](#page-43-0)**

[Coupling](#page-2-0) **[Balls into Bins](#page-18-0) [Poissonisation](#page-26-0)** Poissonisation Poissonisation Poisson [Poisson Point Process](#page-43-0)

### **Balls Into Bins**



### General Terminology

- *m* balls are randomly distributed among *n* bins
- the *load* of a bin is the number of balls in it

 $m = 13, \quad n = 6$ し 1 2 3 4 5 6

[Coupling](#page-2-0) **[Balls into Bins](#page-18-0) [Poissonisation](#page-26-0)** Poissonisation Poissonisation Poisson [Poisson Point Process](#page-43-0)

### **Balls Into Bins**



### General Terminology

- *m* balls are randomly distributed among *n* bins
- **the** *load* of a bin is the number of balls in it

#### Fully Random Allocation

- $\blacksquare$  *X*<sub>1</sub>, . . . , *X<sub>m</sub>* ∼ *U*([*n*]) independent
- $L_i := |\{j \in [m] \mid X_j = i\}|$ is the load of bin  $i \in [m]$
- $(L_1, \ldots, L_n)$  follows a (specific) *multinomial distribution*

### Example for Partially Random Allocation (not in this lecture)

1 2 3 4 5 6

 $m = 13, \quad n = 6$ 

- balls are placed sequentially
- each ball chooses the *least loaded* among two randomly chosen bins (ties broken randomly)





### **Balls into Bins: Many Interesting Questions**



■ What is the expected/distribution/concentration of

- the load *L*<sub>max</sub> of the most loaded bin
- the load *L*<sub>min</sub> of the least loaded bin
- *L*max − *L*min
- $\blacksquare$  the number of empty bins
- $\blacksquare$  . . .
- **Can we make the allocation more balanced by intervening in some way?** 
	- **■** e.g. with partially random allocation from last slide  $\mathbb{E}[L_{\text{max}} L_{\text{min}}]$  stays bounded when  $m \to \infty$  while *n* is fixed.

Countless variants exist...



#### Hashing with Chaining ←→ *n* Balls into *m* Bins

length of the list in bucket *i* ←→ number of balls in bin *i*

[Coupling](#page-2-0) **[Balls into Bins](#page-18-0) [Poissonisation](#page-26-0)** Poissonisation Poissonisation Poisson [Poisson Point Process](#page-43-0)



#### Hashing with Chaining ←→ *n* Balls into *m* Bins

length of the list in bucket  $i \leftrightarrow$  number of balls in bin *i* 

#### Bloom Filter with *k* Hash Functions ←→ *kn* Balls into *m* Bins

a filter bit is set to  $1 \leftrightarrow i$ th bin is non-empty

[Coupling](#page-2-0) **[Balls into Bins](#page-18-0) [Poissonisation](#page-26-0)** Poissonisation Poissonisation Poisson [Poisson Point Process](#page-43-0)



### Hashing with Chaining ←→ *n* Balls into *m* Bins

length of the list in bucket  $i \leftrightarrow$  number of balls in bin *i* 

#### Bloom Filter with *k* Hash Functions ←→ *kn* Balls into *m* Bins

a filter bit is set to  $1 \leftrightarrow i$ th bin is non-empty

Degree Sequence of Random (Multi-)Graph ←→ 2*m* Balls into *n* bins

Given independent  $v_1, \ldots, v_{2m} \sim \mathcal{U}([n])$  let  $G = (V = [n], E = \{\{v_1, v_2\}, \ldots, \{v_{2m-1}, v_{2m}\}\})$ (we allow multiedges and loops in *G*)

degree of vertex *i* ←→ load of bin *i*

$$
\begin{array}{c}\n\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet\n\end{array}\n\qquad\n\begin{array}{c}\n\text{ } n = 5, \quad m = 4 \\
v_1 = 1, \quad v_2 = 4 \\
v_3 = 4, \quad v_4 = 2 \\
v_5 = 3, \quad v_6 = 3 \\
v_7 = 2, \quad v_8 = 4\n\end{array}
$$





### Hashing with Chaining ←→ *n* Balls into *m* Bins

length of the list in bucket  $i \leftrightarrow$  number of balls in bin *i* 

#### Bloom Filter with *k* Hash Functions ←→ *kn* Balls into *m* Bins

a filter bit is set to  $1 \leftrightarrow i$ th bin is non-empty

Degree Sequence of Random (Multi-)Graph ←→ 2*m* Balls into *n* bins

Given independent  $v_1, \ldots, v_{2m} \sim \mathcal{U}([n])$  let  $G = (V = [n], E = \{\{v_1, v_2\}, \ldots, \{v_{2m-1}, v_{2m}\}\})$ (we allow multiedges and loops in *G*)

degree of vertex *i* ←→ load of bin *i*

$$
\begin{array}{c}\n\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet\n\end{array}\n\qquad\n\begin{array}{c}\n2 & n = 5, & m = 4 \\
v_1 = 1, & v_2 = 4 \\
v_3 = 4, & v_4 = 2 \\
v_5 = 3, & v_6 = 3 \\
v_7 = 2, & v_8 = 4\n\end{array}
$$

"Balls into Bins" is the standard language for discussing underlying mathematical questions.



<span id="page-26-0"></span>**Content**



#### **1. [Coupling](#page-2-0)**

- **[Motivating Examples](#page-2-0)**
- **[Definition](#page-8-0)**

#### **2. [Balls into Bins](#page-18-0)**

#### **3. [Poissonisation](#page-26-0)**

#### **4. [Poisson Point Process](#page-43-0)**



#### Setting: Expected Constant Load per Bin

- **n** fully random allocation
- **n**  $m = \lambda n$  balls *n* bins for large *n*
- $\blacksquare$   $\lambda$  fixed constant

### Setting: Expected Constant Load per Bin

- **n** fully random allocation
- $\blacksquare$  *m* =  $\lambda$ *n* balls *n* bins for large *n*
- $\blacksquare$   $\lambda$  fixed constant

### Load of the First Bin

Consider 
$$
L^{(n)} \sim \text{Bin}(\lambda n, \frac{1}{n})
$$
. For  $\lambda = 1$ :  
  

$$
n = m = 5
$$
  
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 ...  
Equlying  
Balls into Bins

#### **15/25** WS 2024/2025 Stefan Walzer: Coupling, Balls into Bins, Poissonisation, Poisson Point Process ITI, Algorithm Engineering



### Setting: Expected Constant Load per Bin

- **n** fully random allocation
- $\blacksquare$  *m* =  $\lambda$ *n* balls *n* bins for large *n*
- $\blacksquare$   $\lambda$  fixed constant

#### Load of the First Bin

Consider 
$$
L^{(n)} \sim \text{Bin}(\lambda n, \frac{1}{n})
$$
. For  $\lambda = 1$ :  
  

$$
n = m = 10
$$
  
  
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 ...  
Using  
Balls into Bins



### Setting: Expected Constant Load per Bin

- **n** fully random allocation
- $\blacksquare$  *m* =  $\lambda$ *n* balls *n* bins for large *n*
- $\blacksquare$   $\lambda$  fixed constant

#### Load of the First Bin

Consider 
$$
L^{(n)} \sim \text{Bin}(\lambda n, \frac{1}{n})
$$
. For  $\lambda = 1$ :  
  

$$
n = m = 20
$$
  
  
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 ...  
Equlying  
Balls into Bins



### Setting: Expected Constant Load per Bin

- **n** fully random allocation
- $\blacksquare$  *m* =  $\lambda$ *n* balls *n* bins for large *n*
- $\blacksquare$   $\lambda$  fixed constant

#### Load of the First Bin

Consider 
$$
L^{(n)} \sim \text{Bin}(\lambda n, \frac{1}{n})
$$
. For  $\lambda = 1$ :  
  

$$
n = m \rightarrow \infty
$$
  
  
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 ...  
Equlying  
Balls into Bins  
Plissonisation  
PoissonPoint Process





### Setting: Expected Constant Load per Bin

- **fully random allocation**
- $\blacksquare$  *m* =  $\lambda$ *n* balls *n* bins for large *n*
- $\blacksquare$   $\lambda$  fixed constant

#### Poisson Distribution

For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $X \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda)$  is a random variable with

$$
\Pr[X = i] = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^i}{i!} \quad \text{// note: probabilities sum to 1}
$$

#### Load of the First Bin

Consider  $L^{(n)} \sim \text{Bin}(\lambda n, \frac{1}{n})$ . For  $\lambda = 1$ :

$$
n=m\rightarrow\infty
$$
  
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 ...



### Setting: Expected Constant Load per Bin

- **fully random allocation**
- $\blacksquare$  *m* =  $\lambda$ *n* balls *n* bins for large *n*
- $\blacksquare$   $\lambda$  fixed constant

#### Poisson Distribution

For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $X \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda)$  is a random variable with

$$
Pr[X = i] = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^i}{i!}
$$
 // note: probabilities sum to 1

#### Load of the First Bin

Consider  $L^{(n)} \sim \text{Bin}(\lambda n, \frac{1}{n})$ . For  $\lambda = 1$ :



Theorem (proof on blackboard)

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\Pr[L^{(n)}=i]=\Pr[X=i].
$$

#### **Remarks**

we say "*L* (*n*) converges in distribution to *X*"

- we write *L*<sup>(*n*)</sup>  $\stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} X$
- this formally refers to convergence of CDFs

### **Properties of the Poisson Distribution**



#### Exercise:  $X \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda)$  has Nice Properties

i  $\mathbb{E}[X] = \lambda$ . ii  $Var(X) = \lambda$ . iii Let *Y* ∼ Pois(ρ) be independent of *X*. Then *X* + *Y* ∼ Pois(λ + ρ). iv Let *X* ′ ∼ Bin(*X*, *p*). Then *X* ′ ∼ Pois(λ*p*).

### **Poissonised Balls into Bins**



$$
(L_i) : 3 \qquad \qquad \bigvee \limits_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \bigvee \limits
$$

#### λ*n* Balls into *n* Bins Model

- $\blacksquare$  *X*<sub>1</sub>, . . . , *X*<sub> $\lambda$ *n*</sub> ∼ *U*([*n*])
- *L*<sub>*i*</sub> := |{*j* ∈ [*m*] | *X*<sub>*j*</sub> = *i*}| ∼ Bin( $\lambda n, \frac{1}{n}$ )
- (*Li*)*i*∈[*n*] *not independent*
	- e.g. large *L*<sub>1</sub> is (weak) evidence for small *L*<sub>2</sub>
	- **annoying in analysis**
- number λ*n* of balls *fixed*

#### Wouldn't it be nice. . .

. . . if we could switch between the models whenever convenient?



#### "Poissonised" Model

- *L*1, . . . , *L<sup>n</sup>* ∼ Pois(λ) independent
	- $\blacksquare$  extremely convenient for analysis

$$
\bullet \ \mathbb{E}[L_1 + \cdots + L_n] = \lambda n
$$

- number of balls *random* ∼ Pois(λ*n*)
	- unusual setting in practice

### **Connection: Poissonised and Regular Balls into Bins**



#### Lemma 1

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Consider two variants of Poissonised balls into bins:

#### **Regular Variant:**

sample *L*1, . . . , *L<sup>n</sup>* ∼ Pois(λ)

**Sum-First-Variant:**

sample *M* ∼ Pois(λ*n*)

■ perform a regular *M* balls into *n* bins experiment

**Example** 
$$
X_1, \ldots, X_M \sim \mathcal{U}([n])
$$

■ let 
$$
L'_i := |\{j \in [M] | X_j = i\}|
$$

Both variants are equivalent, i.e.  $(L_1, \ldots, L_n) \stackrel{d}{=} (L'_1, \ldots, L'_n)$ .



# **Connection: Poissonised and Regular Balls into Bins**



#### Lemma 1

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Consider two variants of Poissonised balls into bins:

#### **Regular Variant:**

sample *L*1, . . . , *L<sup>n</sup>* ∼ Pois(λ)

**Sum-First-Variant:**

sample *M* ∼ Pois(λ*n*)

■ perform a regular *M* balls into *n* bins experiment

**Example** 
$$
X_1, \ldots, X_M \sim \mathcal{U}([n])
$$

■ let 
$$
L'_i := |\{j \in [M] | X_j = i\}|
$$

Both variants are equivalent, i.e.  $(L_1, \ldots, L_n) \stackrel{d}{=} (L'_1, \ldots, L'_n)$ .

#### What we need to show (calculation on blackboard):

For arbitrary  $(\ell_1,\ldots,\ell_n)\in\mathbb{N}^n$  :  $\Pr[(L_1,\ldots,L_n)=(\ell_1,\ldots,\ell_n)]=\Pr[(L'_1,\ldots,L'_n)=(\ell_1,\ldots,\ell_n)].$ 



### **Some Concentration Bounds**



#### Lemma 2

**i** Let Λ  $>$  0 and  $X$   $\sim$  Pois(Λ). Then Pr[ $|X - Λ| > t$ ]  $\leq \frac{Λ}{t^2}$  for any  $t >$  0. // Chebyschev  $\mathbf{a}$  Let  $\lambda = \Theta(1)$ , and *X* ∼ Pois $(\lambda \, n)$  then Pr $[X = \lambda n \pm \mathcal{O}(n^{2/3})] = 1 - o(1)$ .  $\blacksquare$  Let  $\lambda = \Theta(1),$   $\lambda^+ := \lambda + n^{-1/3}$  and  $X^+ \sim \operatorname{Pois}(\lambda^+ n)$  then  $\Pr[X^+ \ge \lambda n] = 1 - o(1).$  $\lambda_{\text{in}}$  Let  $\lambda = \Theta(1), \, \lambda^- := \lambda - n^{-1/3}$  and  $X^- \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda^- n)$  then  $\text{Pr}[X^- \le \lambda n] = 1 - o(1).$  $\mathsf{v}$  In particular: Pr $[X^- \leq \lambda n \leq X^+] = 1 - o(1)$ .

# **Coupling of Poissonised and Regular Balls into Bins**

#### Theorem

Let  $n, \lambda, \lambda^+, \lambda^-$  be as before. Consider three "balls into bins" models:

 $1$   $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n \sim \mathsf{Pois}(\lambda^-)$  // poissonised with reduced  $\lambda$ **2**  $L_1, \ldots, L_n$  arising from regular  $m = \lambda n$  balls into *n* bins

3  $\,$   $Z_1,\ldots,Z_n \sim \mathsf{Pois}(\lambda^+)$  // poissonised with increased  $\lambda$ 

There is a coupling  $(Y'_i,L'_i,Z'_i)_{i\in[n]}$  of  $(Y_i)_{i\in[n]},$   $(L_i)_{i\in[n]},$   $(Z_i)_{i\in[n]}$  such that

with probability 1 –  $o(1)$ :  $Y'_i \leq L'_i \leq Z'_i$  for all  $i \in [n]$ .





### $1$   $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n \sim \mathsf{Pois}(\lambda^-)$  // poissonised with reduced  $\lambda$

**2** *L*<sub>1</sub>, ..., *L*<sub>n</sub> arising from regular  $m = \lambda n$  balls into *n* bins

3  $\,$   $Z_1,\ldots,Z_n \sim \mathsf{Pois}(\lambda^+)$  // poissonised with increased  $\lambda$ 

There is a coupling  $(Y'_i,L'_i,Z'_i)_{i\in[n]}$  of  $(Y_i)_{i\in[n]},$   $(L_i)_{i\in[n]},$   $(Z_i)_{i\in[n]}$  such that

with probability 1 –  $o(1)$ :  $Y'_i \leq L'_i \leq Z'_i$  for all  $i \in [n]$ .

# Theorem **Coupling of Poissonised and Regular Balls into Bins**

Let  $n, \lambda, \lambda^+, \lambda^-$  be as before. Consider three "balls into bins" models:

$$
(Y'_i): \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i}} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i}} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i}} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i}} \binom{n}{i} \bin
$$

#### Proof.

\n $Let X_1, X_2, \ldots \sim \mathcal{U}([n]), M^- \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda^- n), M^+ \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda^+ n).$ \n	\n        This is indeed a coupling as claimed:\n $Y_i' :=  \{j \in [M^-] \mid X_j = i\}  \text{ for } i \in [n].$ \n	\n $L_i' :=  \{j \in [m^-] \mid X_j = i\}  \text{ for } i \in [n].$ \n	\n $L_i' :=  \{j \in [m^+] \mid X_j = i\}  \text{ for } i \in [n].$ \n	\n $L_i' :=  \{j \in [M^+] \mid X_j = i\}  \text{ for } i \in [n].$ \n	\n $L_i' :=  \{j \in [M^+] \mid X_j = i\}  \text{ for } i \in [n].$ \n	\n $L_i' :=  \{j \in [M^+] \mid X_j = i\}  \text{ for } i \in [n].$ \n	\n $L_i' :=  \{j \in [M^+] \mid X_j = i\}  \text{ for } i \in [n].$ \n	\n $L_i' :=  \{j \in [M^+] \mid X_j = i\}  \text{ for } i \in [n].$ \n	\n $L_i' :=  \{j \in [M^+] \mid X_j = i\}  \text{ for } i \in [n].$ \n	\n $L_i' :=  \{j \in [M^+] \mid X_j = i\}  \text{ for } i \in [n].$ \n	\n $L_i' :=  \{j \in [M^+] \mid X_j = i\}  \text{ for } i \in [n].$ \n	\n $L_i' :=  \{j \in [M^+] \mid X_j = i\}  \text{ for } i \in [n].$ \n
---	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



### Let  $n, \lambda, \lambda^+, \lambda^-$  be as before. Consider three "balls into bins" models:

 $1$   $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n \sim \mathsf{Pois}(\lambda^-)$  // poissonised with reduced  $\lambda$ 

**2**  $L_1, \ldots, L_n$  arising from regular  $m = \lambda n$  balls into *n* bins

3  $\,$   $Z_1,\ldots,Z_n \sim \mathsf{Pois}(\lambda^+)$  // poissonised with increased  $\lambda$ 

There is a coupling  $(Y'_i,L'_i,Z'_i)_{i\in[n]}$  of  $(Y_i)_{i\in[n]},$   $(L_i)_{i\in[n]},$   $(Z_i)_{i\in[n]}$  such that

with probability 1 –  $o(1)$ :  $Y'_i \leq L'_i \leq Z'_i$  for all  $i \in [n]$ .

# **Coupling of Poissonised and Regular Balls into Bins**



$$
(Y'_i): \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i}} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i}} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i}} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i}} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i}} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{i}} \binom{n}{i} \bin
$$

#### Application involving Monotonous Functions

Let  $f: \mathbb{N}_0^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be non-decreasing in each argument. Examples:

**n** maximum load of a bin

Theorem

- longest run of non-empty bins
- collision number // numbers of pairs of co-located balls

For some bound  $B \in \mathbb{R}$  let

\n- $$
p^- := \Pr[f((Y_i)_{i \in [n]}) \geq B]
$$
 // easier to compute
\n- $p := \Pr[f((L_i)_{i \in [n]}) \geq B]$  // what we want
\n

$$
\blacksquare \ \ p^+ := \Pr[f((Z_i)_{i \in [n]}) \geq B] \ \text{# easier to compute}
$$

Then 
$$
p \in [p^- - o(1), p^+ + o(1)].
$$

### **Back to Bloom Filters**



#### Exercise:

Analyse Bloom filters in a "Poissonised" model and discuss how the results can be transferred to the exact model.

<span id="page-43-0"></span>**Content**



#### **1. [Coupling](#page-2-0)**

- **[Motivating Examples](#page-2-0)**
- **[Definition](#page-8-0)**

#### **2. [Balls into Bins](#page-18-0)**

**3. [Poissonisation](#page-26-0)**

#### **4. [Poisson Point Process](#page-43-0)**

### **What about "Balls into Continuous Domain"?**



### **Setting**

- *D* is space of finite measure
- **n**  $\in$  N // number of balls
- $X_1, \ldots, X_m \sim \mathcal{U}(D)$  // randomly thrown into *D*



### **What about "Balls into Continuous Domain"?**



### **Setting**

- *D* is space of finite measure
- **n**  $\in$  N // number of balls
- *X*1, . . . , *X<sup>m</sup>* ∼ U(*D*) // randomly thrown into *<sup>D</sup>*



Note: If  $D = \{1, \ldots, n\}$  we have discrete balls into bins.

# **What about "Balls into Continuous Domain"?**



### **Setting**

- *D* is space of finite measure
- **n**  $\in$  N // number of balls
- *X*1, . . . , *X<sup>m</sup>* ∼ U(*D*) // randomly thrown into *<sup>D</sup>*



#### Note: If  $D = \{1, \ldots, n\}$  we have discrete balls into bins.

#### Same annoying issue

If  $B_1, B_2 \subseteq D$  with  $B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$  are two "bins" then the numbers  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  of "balls" in  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ are correlated.

#### Similar elegant solution

- We can "Poissonise" the setting.
- But we drop "balls into bins" terminology:
	- we allow infinite domains *D*
	- we allow infinite number of balls

#### General Definition

Let *D* be a measurable space with measure  $\mu$ . *||* e.g.  $D = \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mu =$  area" The Poisson point process with parameter  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  is a random set *P* ⊆ *D* such that

$$
|P \cap B| \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda \mu(B)) \text{ for any } B \subseteq D \text{ with } \mu(B) < \infty
$$

**2**  $|P \cap B_1|$  and  $|P \cap B_2|$  are independent whenever  $B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$ 



#### General Definition

Let *D* be a measurable space with measure  $\mu$ . *||* e.g.  $D = \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mu =$  area" The Poisson point process with parameter  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  is a random set *P* ⊆ *D* such that

$$
|\hspace{-.1em}1\hspace{-.1em}1| \hspace{.1em}|\hspace{.1em}P\cap B|\sim \mathrm{Pois}(\lambda\mu(B))\text{ for any }B\subseteq D\text{ with }\mu(B)<\infty
$$

**2**  $|P \cap B_1|$  and  $|P \cap B_2|$  are independent whenever  $B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$ 

### Equivalent Definition if  $\mu(D) < \infty$

**Example** 
$$
M \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda \mu(D))
$$

■ sample  $X_1, \ldots, X_M \sim \mathcal{U}(D)$ 

Then  $P \stackrel{d}{=} \{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_M\}.$ 





#### General Definition

Let *D* be a measurable space with measure  $\mu$ . *||* e.g.  $D = \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mu =$  area" The Poisson point process with parameter  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  is a random set  $P \subseteq D$  such that

 $|P \cap B|$  ∼ Pois $(\lambda \mu(B))$  for any  $B \subseteq D$  with  $\mu(B) < \infty$ 

**2**  $|P \cap B_1|$  and  $|P \cap B_2|$  are independent whenever  $B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$ 



#### Construction as a limit

- subdivide *D* into pieces of measure  $\varepsilon$
- let each piece contain a point with probability  $\varepsilon \lambda$
- **consider the limit for**  $\varepsilon \to 0$



#### General Definition

Let *D* be a measurable space with measure  $\mu$ . *||* e.g.  $D = \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mu =$  area" The Poisson point process with parameter  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  is a random set *P* ⊆ *D* such that

$$
|P \cap B| \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda \mu(B)) \text{ for any } B \subseteq D \text{ with } \mu(B) < \infty
$$

**2**  $|P \cap B_1|$  and  $|P \cap B_2|$  are independent whenever  $B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$ 



# Equivalent Definition if  $D = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  (where  $\mu$  is the Borel measure)



 $\textsf{Proof~idea:}~ \Pr[\min P > t] = \Pr[|P \cap [0,t]| = 0] = \Pr_{X \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda t)}[X=0] = e^{-\lambda t} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Pr[Z_1 > t].$ 



### **Conclusion**



#### **Coupling**

- **e** embedding of two random variables *X* and *Y* into a common probability space
- relationships between distributions of *X* and *Y* become visible as relationships between outcomes of *X* ′ and *Y* ′

#### Balls into Bins

standard language when *m* objects are randomly assigned to *n* other objects

#### Poissonisation

- the act of replacing multinomially distributed  $(L_1,\ldots,L_n)$  with independent Poisson random variables  $(L'_1,\ldots,L'_n)$
- often results in model with nicer mathematical properties
- **often formally justifiable**

#### Poisson Point Process

important model where points from a continuous space occur independently from each other with fixed density  $\lambda$ 



# **Anhang: Mögliche Prüfungsfragen I**



- Was ist ein Coupling?
	- Nenne Beispiele in denen ein Coupling nützlich sein kann.
	- Was bedeutet Gleichheit in Verteilung?
- Wo in der Vorlesung haben wir (implizit oder explizit) Balls-into-Bins-Prozesse betrachtet?
- **Poissonisierung:** 
	- Welche lästige Eigenschaft hat die Verteilung der Beladungen in Balls-into-Bins Prozessen? Was ist in einem poissonisierten Modell anders?
	- Wie lässt sich in einem Balls-into-Bins Setting die Poissonverteilung wiederfinden?
	- Wie haben wir das poissonisierte und das reguläre Balls-into-Bins-Modell miteinander in Verbindung gebracht? Inwiefern lässt sich ein Wechsel zwischen den Modellen formal rechtfertigen?
- **Poisson-Punktprozesse** 
	- Wie sind Poisson-Punktprozesse definiert?

